

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Furgue 1	i i		United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER OF I Washington, D.C. 2023 www.uspto.gov	PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,749	10/20/2000	Sandrine Decoster	05725.0782-00000	7073
	7590 5 05/17/2002	DOW CARRETT A	! !	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			YU, GINA C	
WASHINGTO	DN, DC ∮20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
	ý		DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
09/692,749	DECOSTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gina C. Yu	1617	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a)
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .
Claim(s) rejected: 1-108.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:
RUSSELDTRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections are maintained for reasons of record. Regarding 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection on claim 12, examiner maintains the position that the metes and bounds of the scope of the claim are unclear. Regarding § 103 rejections, examiner notes that, in contrary to applicants' assertion that rejections lack a particular reason why a skilled artisan would have selected Dalle for for modification, the office action dated July 5, 2001 clearly indicates that the motivation to combine the claimed components stems from the disclosed benefits of the ingedients known in hair care compositions. Nothing unexpected or nonobvious is seen in combining conventional ingredients well known in the art. See MPEP § 716.02.